

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/525,194	Applicant(s) SAITOH ET AL.
		Examiner Dawn Garrett	Art Unit 1794

All Participants:

(1) Dawn Garrett.

(2) Peter Saxon.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-34

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dawn Garrett/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner noted that the claim amendment filed July 9, 2009 was in non-compliant form for the cancellation of claims 1-20. The examiner requested to do an examiner's amendment in order to clarify that claims 1-20 are cancelled and to place the listing of claims in acceptable form. Also, the examiner requested that the dependency of claim 29 be changed from "claim 3" to "claim 23". Applicants' representative, Peter Saxon, authorized the examiner's amendment.